



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

United States Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/720,393	11/25/2003	Gon Kim	K-0563	4280
34610 7590 03/05/2008 KED & ASSOCIATES, LLP P.O. Box 221200 Chantilly, VA 20153-1200				
EXAMINER				
PATEL, RITA RAMESH				
ART UNIT		PAPER NUMBER		
1792				
MAIL DATE		DELIVERY MODE		
03/05/2008		PAPER		

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Interview Summary

**Application No.**

10/720,393

**Applicant(s)**

KIM ET AL.

**Examiner**

RITA R. PATEL

**Art Unit**

1792

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Rita R. Patel (USPTO).

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Joanna Mason (Applicant's Representative).

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 25 February 2008.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference

c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.

If Yes, brief description: n/a.

Claim(s) discussed: 6, 10-12 and 16.

Identification of prior art discussed: Ohta (US Patent No. 6,626,013).

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The 112, 1<sup>st</sup> and 2<sup>nd</sup> rejections over claims 10-12 were discussed, additionally proposed claim language for overcoming these rejections was presented by Applicant's representative. Also, discussion of the Ohta reference in comparison to a front loading washing machine having a comparing step wherein the water level sensor of a sensed water level and a reference water level, greater than zero was presented by J. Mason.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Rita R. Patel/

Examiner, Art Unit 1792

Examiner's signature, if required

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.